

Remarks:

Response to Restriction Requirement

Claims 1-26 were restricted by the examiner into Group I including claims 1-11 directed at a flow monitoring tool with a digital bus communication; Group II including claims 12-21, 25 and 26 directed at an injector tool with a tracer ejection measuring means; and Group III including claims 22-24 directed an injector tool with separate hydraulic and electrical parts. Applicant has amended the claims to traverse this objection.

To fulfill the requirements of 37 C.F.R. §1.143 applicant provisionally elects for prosecution the claims of Group II including claims 12-21, 25 and 26 directed at an injector tool with a tracer ejection means.

Applicant has amended the claims to overcome the objections relating to lack of unity of invention. Specifically, claims 1 to 11, 13-14, 17-21 and 25-26 are cancelled without prejudice. New claims 27 to 33 were introduced (based on canceled claims 1 to 7 respectively), which have now been made dependent on claim 12. No new matter has been added. Thus, the set of claims now only comprise a single independent claim 12 which overcomes any unity of invention objections.

The Applicants believe the claims are in condition for allowance, early passage to issuance is requested. This paper is submitted in response to the Office Action dated February 14, 2008 for which the one-month date for response is March 14, 2008. Please apply any charges not covered, or any credits, to Deposit Account 50-2183 (Reference Number 21.1208).

Respectfully submitted,

James L. Kurka
Reg. No. 47,726
Attorney for Applicants

Date: _____
Schlumberger Oilfield Services
200 Gillingham Lane, MD-9
Sugar Land, TX 77478